

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/656,692	09/07/2000	Kenichi Hamada	0941.64727 2392	
24978	7590 02/17/2004		EXAMINER	
GREER, BURNS & CRAIN			PSITOS, ARISTOTELIS M	
300 S WACK			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CHICAGO, II	L 60606		2653	6
			DATE MAILED: 02/17/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	· ·				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/656,692	HAMADA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Aristotelis M Psitos	2653			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>30 Ap</u>					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims	•				
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 	,)-(d) or (f).			
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.			
Attachment(e)					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>2.4</u> .	5) Notice of Informal P	ratent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/656,692

Art Unit: 2653

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Information Disclosure Statement

The IDS of 9/7/00 and 4/30/01 have been received and made of record.

Specification

The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

Drawings

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. The refore, the "continuously sampling" (claim 4) the "threshold" (claim 7), "acquisition mode" & "tracking mode" & "operation mode switching circuit" (claim 11), "convergence time setting circuit" (claims 12,13), "circuit which prevents the phase of the clock signal to be adjusted ..." (claim 16), "normalization circuit" (claim 17) must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 2. Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, since all the independent claims are written as SINGLE MEANS claims see *In re Hyatt, 708, F2.d 712, 218 USPQ 195 (Fed. Cir. 1983).*

The enabling disclosure of the specification must be commensurate in scope with the claim(s) under consideration.

3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

Application/Control Number: 09/656,692 Page 3

Art Unit: 2653

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter, which the applicant regards as his invention.

4. Claims are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

With respect to

- a) claims 2 and 3, these claims recite desired functional results, however, it is not clear how such limitations flow/follow from the ONLY element claim. Further explanation is respectfully requested.
- b) claims 4-6 and 19, 20 add a further element, not further defining the single element of their parent claim.
- c) claims 7-9 recite a desired functional operation/result to occur predicated upon "a predetermined threshold", however, no such circuit/element to establish such a threshold is positively recited. This is recited in dependent claim 10, and the examiner recommends placing such a limitation is claim 7 and canceling claim 10.
- d) claims 11-16 recite *acquisition" & 'tracking' modes as well as 'operation mode switching circuit'. However, prior to switching, such modes must be recognized. No such recognition/element for is properly defined in the claim. Dependent claims 15-16 don't clarify the above in claim 11 and fall accordingly.

AS FAR AS THE CLAIMS RECITE POSITIVE LIMITATIONS AND AS INTERPRETED BY THE EXAMINER THE FOLLOWING ART REJECTIONS ARE MADE.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 5. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Shih et al.

Application/Control Number: 09/656,692

Art Unit: 2653

Since Shih et al was cited as an X reference against all the claims in the corresponding EP application, and NO material amendments to the claims have been made, the position is repeated for the reasons stated in the submitted EP report.

6. Claims 1,3-7,10, 17,18-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Hamada et al.

The applied reference has a common assignee with the instant application. Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) might be overcome either by a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not the invention "by another," or by an appropriate showing under 37 CFR 1.131.

Applicants' attention is drawn to the operation of figures 1-11 and the associated disclosure thereof. The phase calculating circuitry is readily apparent as is the edge detection ability/means etc.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 9. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 09/656,692

Art Unit: 2653

owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

10. Claims 2, 8-9, 11-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the art as applied to claim 1 as stated above in paragraph 6, and further in view of Shih et al.

Shih et al teaches the limitations presented in these dependent claims as analyzed by the EP search report.

It would have been obvious to modify the base system of Hamada et al with the above additional teachings, motivation is to provide for a rapid phase acquisition system and correct for such errors in this environment.

Conclusion

11. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Ishibashi et al ('942, '967), Taguchi et al, Clark are cited as illustrative of phase calculation circuitry/means and can be relied upon in place of the above used prior art to anticipate independent claims 1,18 and 19 as well as claim 20.

Ohta et al 'see description of elevery 89 m fig. 12.

Jeon is cited as illustrative of the limitations with respect to claim 3.

Sun is cited as a multi-stage phase error correcting circuit with absolute evaluation ability.

Hard copies of the application files are now separated from this examining corps; hence the examiner can answer no questions that require a review of the file without sufficient lead-time.

Any inquiries concerning missing papers/references, etc. must be directed to Group 2600 Customer Services at (703) 306-0377.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Aristotelis M Psitos whose telephone number is (703) 308-1598. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Thursday 8 - 4.

Application/Control Number: 09/656,692

Art Unit: 2653

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, William R. Korzuch can be reached on (703) 305-6137. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Aristotelis M Psitos Primary Examiner Art Unit 2653

AMP